## The Curious Case of the Adiabatic, Irreversible Expansion

(ps. Benjamin Button dies at the end).

Calculate the final volume, temperature, work done by 0.5 mol of a gas ( $C_{v,m} = 12.47$  J/K/mol and  $C_{p,m} = 20.8$  J/K/mol) at 3 atm and 100 °C that irreversibly and adiabatically expands against an outside pressure of 1 atm.

b. What is the change in U and H?

## Answer:

Since  $P \cdot V = n \cdot R \cdot T$  for the initial *and final* states, we

have  $T_1 = \frac{P_1V_1}{nR}$  (1) and  $T_2 = \frac{P_2V_2}{nR}$  (2). Knowing that n=0.5 mol, T<sub>1</sub>=373.15 K, P<sub>1</sub> = 3 atm = 303.975 kPa, P<sub>2</sub> = 1 atm = 101.325 kPa we can calculate from (1) that V<sub>1</sub> = 5.103 L. Now we need to know the volume and temperature of the final state (T<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>) to calculate all the other thermodynamic variables; and while P·V=n·R·T still works, we have a slight problem. This is because (2) has two unknown variables as adiabatic transitions have simultaneous changes in V and T. So, you cannot use (2) to do anything. Essentially, you have one equation with two unknown variables.



Prof. Preston T. Snee is a perfessor of physical chemistry and a well known Jackass.

However, nature must provide a method to deal and a well known Jackass. with this problem. First, since  $\partial U = \partial q + \partial w = \partial w$ in adiabatic transitions, which is always  $-P_{ext} \cdot \partial V$ . Also,  $\partial U = C_v \cdot \partial V$ . Thus, whether reversible or irreversible we know that:

$$n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot \Delta T = -P_{ext} \cdot \Delta V \quad (3)$$

Where the irreversible and reversible differ is in how one takes care of the external pressure. In the reversible case, we set  $P_{ext}$  to  $n \cdot R/V$  and proceed as per the last handout. In our case, we do the following; first, redefine (3) as:

 $n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot (T_2 - T_1) = -P_{ext} \cdot (V_2 - V_1)$  Next, note that we can relate the final temperature to the final volume as:

$$n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot (\frac{P_2 V_2}{nR} - T_1) = -P_{ext} \cdot (V_2 - V_1)$$
. The amazing thing: we have all the variables in

this one equation defined except for  $V_2$ , which we can now calculate from:

$$0.5mol \cdot 12.47 \frac{J}{K} \cdot mol \cdot \left(\frac{101.325kPa \cdot V_2}{0.5mol \cdot 8.314 \frac{J}{K} \cdot mol} - 373.15K\right) = -101.325kPa \cdot (V_2 - 5.103L)$$

Solving this for V<sub>2</sub> gives a final volume of 11.226 L. Now we can calculate T<sub>2</sub> two different ways: If we use (2), we get:  $T_2 = \frac{101.325kPa \cdot 11.226L}{0.5mol \cdot 8.314 J/K \cdot mol} = 273.6$  K. Or, we could have used (3):  $n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot \Delta T = -P_{ext} \cdot \Delta V$  which after we put in all the known variables figure  $0.5mol \cdot 12.47 L/C$  ( $T_2 = 272.15K$ ) = 101.225kPa (11.226L = 5.102L)

variables is:  $0.5mol \cdot 12.47 \frac{J}{K \cdot mol} \cdot (T_2 - 373.15K) = -101.325kPa \cdot (11.226L - 5.103L)$ which makes  $T_2 = 273.6$  K!!! It's the same no matter how we calculate it!

The last thing I will do is calculate the work, which is  $n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot \Delta T = 0.5mol \cdot 12.47 \frac{J}{K} \cdot mol} \cdot (273.6K - 373.15K) = -621 \text{ J}$ . This is also the change in internal energy. The change in enthalpy is then:  $\partial H = \partial U + \partial (P \cdot V) = \partial U + n \cdot R \cdot \partial T = -621 \text{ J} + 0.5mol \cdot 8.314 \text{ J/K/mol} \cdot (273.6K - 393.15K) = -1.03 \text{ kJ}.$ 

Let's see what we would get if the problem *was a reversible expansion* to a 1 atm final pressure. In this case, we use the adiabatic, reversible equation(s) of state:

Starting with 
$$\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right) = \left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)^{\frac{C_p}{C_v}}$$
 and plugging in numbers yields:  
 $\left(\frac{1atm}{3atm}\right) = \left(\frac{5.103L}{V_2}\right)^{\frac{0.5mol \cdot 20.8J_{K-mol}}{0.5mol \cdot 12.47J_{K-mol}}}$  After some manipulation we get:  
 $V_2 = \frac{5.103L}{\left(\frac{1atm}{3atm}\right)^{\frac{0.5mol \cdot 12.47J_{K-mol}}{0.5mol \cdot 20.8J_{K-mol}}} = 9.86$  L. Now we can get the final temperature via  
 $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{C_p}{nR}} \left(\frac{V_1}{V_1}\right) = \frac{1}{1}$  is  $\left(-T_f\right)^{\frac{0.5mol \cdot 12.47J_{K-mol}}{0.5mol \cdot 8.314J_{K-mol}}} = (5.103L)$ 

$$\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right)^{nR} = \left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right) \text{ which is } \left(\frac{T_f}{373.15K}\right)^{0.5 \text{mol} \cdot 8.314 \frac{J}{K} \cdot \text{mol}} = \left(\frac{5.103L}{9.86L}\right) \text{ which yields a } T_2 \text{ of}$$

240.5 K. Likewise, the perfect gas law (2) gives  $T_2 = \frac{101.525 KFa \cdot 9.60L}{0.5 mol \cdot 8.314 J/K \cdot mol} = 240.3 \text{ K},$ 

I'm sure the very slight difference in the temperatures are due to truncation of significant figures when I did this on my calculator (they are obviously the same temperature). Let's calculate the work to compare it to the irreversible result. Starting with

 $\partial w = n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot \Delta T = 0.5 mol \cdot 12.47 \frac{J}{K} \cdot mol} \cdot (240.3K - 373.15K) = -828 \text{ J.}$ Note that this is a greater negative quantity than the irreversible work (it was -621 J); this

preserves the notion that we get the most work out (negative work) from a *reversible* system change. And how did that extra work come about? It's because the reversible expansion ends up with a much colder gas, which means more internal heat was converted to expansion work. Think of it like this: if the change in temperature is

 $n \cdot C_{v,m} \cdot \Delta T = \partial w$  then  $\Delta T = \frac{\partial w}{n \cdot C_{v,m}}$ . Now since the reversible work is the most negative, then the reversible transition will cool the gas more compared to the irreversible transition.